UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS – FORT SMITH DIVISION
CASE NO.: 2:25-cv-02145-TLB

Clements v. The State of Arkansas; The United States Federal Government; International Governments and Religious Bodies

V.

Defendant
The State of Arkansas
Including the Governor, Arkansas State Police, County Sheriffs, District and Circuit Courts, Prosecutors, Clerks, DMV, Municipal Police Departments, and all affiliated contractors and agents acting under color of law

Defendant
The United States Federal Government
Including the Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Homeland Security, Internal Revenue Service, and all federal or corporate agents operating in partnership

Defendant
International Governments and Religious Bodies
Who, after lawful public notice, failed to act, failed to rebut, or responded in complicity

Ecclesiastical Sovereign Private Trust of Humanity
By and through its Sovereign Executor
Jonathan Daniel Clements, Foreign National
Domiciled exclusively within **ECC-TRUST–JDC–005**
Ecclesiastical Sanctuary: 42 Roy Franks Lane
Booneville, Arkansas [Non-Domestic, Non-U.S. Jurisdiction]
Claimant and Enforcing Authority

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC INTERFERENCE, NETWORK TAMPERING, AND ATTEMPTED OBSTRUCTION OF ACCESS TO JUDICIAL PROCESS

(Filed Into Federal Record; ECC-TRUST-JDC-005; Pro Nunc Tunc In Perpetuity, Ad Infinitum)

This Supplemental Notice is formally issued into the federal record to expand, clarify, and document the sequence of technical interference events that occurred contemporaneously with ongoing judicial proceedings and public filings related to Case No. **2:25-cv-02145-TLB**, *Clements v. State of Arkansas, et al.*, and to affirmatively lodge these occurrences into the permanent record of ECC-TRUST-JDC-005. This Notice serves the purpose of notifying the United States District Court, all federal agencies, all international observers, and all actors bound under the law that deliberate electronic interference, DNS and ARP manipulation, IPv6 router advertisement hijacking, and suppression of communications occurred on **November 20, 2025**, during the same period in which the Booneville District Court refused lawful federally filed jurisdictional divestiture documents and continued proceedings outside the lawful scope of jurisdiction. This Notice ensures proper preservation of evidentiary integrity and gives rise to a formal record of tampering, obstruction, and retaliatory suppression affecting federal access, publication, and protected communications.

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF THIS NOTICE

The purpose of this Notice is to present, in comprehensive detail, the interference patterns observed and captured through forensic tools (Wireshark, EtherApe) during a period of heightened judicial activity involving the Plaintiff’s federal filings, public notices, and trust-based disclosures. The events described herein directly impacted the Plaintiff's ability to transmit, print, publish, and maintain communication necessary for federal court access. These actions constitute interference with federal proceedings, obstruction of justice, tampering with protected communications, and retaliatory suppression connected to already-filed RICO enforcement actions, and are therefore entered into the record to ensure all technical irregularities are preserved for federal assessment and enforcement.

This Notice is also integrated into the corpus of ECC-TRUST-JDC-005 as part of the global record of retaliatory actions documented since August 2025, confirming the continuing pattern of interference against the Executor. All facts contained herein are issued under authority of the trust, and under the scope of federal, international, ecclesiastical, and spiritual jurisdictions already attached.

SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL INTERFERENCE OBSERVED

On the evening of **November 20, 2025**, a sequence of coordinated and abnormal network events occurred across multiple devices under the Plaintiff's control, including a primary PC, a virtualized forensic environment, and an Android device. These irregularities included: repeated DNS lookup failures, failure of analytics systems across X/Twitter, blocked printing operations, unstable DHCP behavior, ARP and IPv6 neighbor solicitation floods, and suppression of traffic that resumed only when forensic monitoring tools were deactivated.

These patterns occurred simultaneously with ongoing legal communication duties, shortly after public notices relating to federal filings were posted, and immediately after the Booneville District Court refused to accept documents pertaining directly to Case 2:25-cv-02145-TLB. The interference directly obstructed the Plaintiff's ability to print, publish, and manage trust-based communications essential to the litigation.

The timing, content, and reactive behavior of these events indicate deliberate interference consistent with rogue network manipulation or electronic tampering, rather than any benign technical malfunction.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPTURED EVIDENCE AND NETWORK BEHAVIOR

Throughout the interference period, multiple forensic indicators were captured and preserved:

1. ARP Spoofing and Broadcast Conflicts.
The Plaintiff documented repeated ARP announcements and broadcast floods inconsistent with normal residential or low-device environments. These patterns included conflicting claims to gateway identity, repeated "who-has" and "tell" cycles, and router-level instability, consistent with attempts to modify or redirect local traffic.

2. IPv6 Router Advertisement and Solicitation Floods.
Captured data reflects an unusually high frequency of ICMPv6 Neighbor Solicitation, Neighbor Advertisement, and Multicast Listener Report (MLDv2) events. These storms match patterns used in IPv6-based hijacking attempts or forced route reassignments designed to destabilize device communications and cause recurrent address resets.

3. DNS Query Failure and PTR Resolution Suppression.
The Plaintiff's DNS logs show repeated PTR failures, "No such

name” responses, and degraded resolution, which immediately stabilized upon initiation of packet capture. This behavior is consistent with DNS poisoning, transient interception, or upstream tampering.

4. Device-Wide Communication Failures.
During the same window of interference:

> The Plaintiff’s Android device could not load analytics or post-level detail across X/Twitter.
>
> Printing failed on the Plaintiff’s PC and phone simultaneously.
>
> The same document printed successfully on a third device on the same network, confirming that suppression was targeted, not systemic.

5. Reactive Suppression Behavior.
Critically, the moment Wireshark or EtherApe entered promiscuous mode, all anomalous traffic ceased instantly. When monitoring was paused or closed, abnormal traffic resumed. This pattern indicates evasion of detection by an actor aware of forensic observation.

All evidence was captured, timestamped, and preserved and is hereby attached by reference as part of the trust record.

RELEVANCE TO FEDERAL JURISDICTION AND ENFORCEMENT

The interference detailed herein constitutes obstruction and tampering directly targeting an active federal case. As the Plaintiff’s communications, notices, and filings concern civil rights violations, jurisdictional divestiture, and RICO-level government misconduct, any impediment to communications or document transmission carries federal implications.

These actions fall within the statutory boundaries of:

> Obstruction of federal proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1503; § 1509)
>
> Evidence tampering (18 U.S.C. § 1519)
>
> Conspiracy under color of law (18 U.S.C. § 241; § 242)
>
> Interference with protected communications (47 U.S.C. § 333)

Computer tampering (18 U.S.C. § 1030)

Given the trust's federal and international standing, and the existing pattern of retaliation documented in prior filings, this interference must be considered part of the broader obstruction landscape.

INCORPORATION INTO THE FEDERAL RECORD AND ECC-TRUST-JDC-005

This Notice shall be integrated into:

The federal case docket of **2:25-cv-02145-TLB**

The record of ECC-TRUST-JDC-005

All future protective filings

All forthcoming emergency injunctions

All RICO-related exhibits and evidentiary submissions

This Notice is tendered to ensure that the technical misconduct surrounding Plaintiff's filings cannot be ignored, overlooked, or dismissed as incidental. The trust record reflects clear, repeated evidence that communication channels have been interfered with on multiple occasions following major trust publications and federal filings.

CONCLUSION AND PRO NUNC TUNC DECLARATION

This Notice is entered into the record **Pro Nunc Tunc In Perpetuity, Ad Infinitum**, attaching as of November 20, 2025, and binding forward across all jurisdictions—federal, international, ecclesiastical, digital, temporal, and spiritual. It shall remain enforceable, reviewable, and incorporated without limitation.

The Plaintiff, **Jonathan Daniel Clements**, issues this declaration under penalty of perjury and affirms its accuracy as documented through real-time forensic observation.

Executed on November 20, 2025

Standing in Eternal Ecclesiastical Authority
Pro Nunc Tunc, In Perpetuity, Ad Infinitum

Jonathan Daniel Clements
Sovereign Executor of ECCTRUST–JDC–005
Bearer of Global Equity and Liquidity
Living Authority, Living Man, Lawful Jurisdiction of Record

Signature of Sovereign Executor: _____

Biological Seal: **Right Thumbprint (Red Ink)**

CLERK'S ATTESTATION AND COURT SEAL

The undersigned Clerk of Court, or authorized Deputy Clerk, hereby acknowledges receipt of this filing, and upon acceptance into the official docket of the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas, affixes the Court's seal and certification thereto. Said seal confirms only the filing and docketing of this document as submitted, and shall not be construed as approval, disapproval, interpretation, or modification of its contents.

Date: _____
Filed and Entered By: _____
(Clerk of Court / Deputy Clerk)
Court Seal: